

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION**

ROBERT ALLEN BAUTISTA,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) Case No.: 3:24-cv-03010-N-BT
CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL)
CORPORATION; CAPITAL ONE,)
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION;)
CAPITAL ONE SERVICES, LLC;)
EQUIFAX INC.; EXPERIAN)
CORPORATION; TRANSUNION,)
Defendants.)

DEFENDANT CAPITAL ONE, N.A.'S *PROPOSED SCHEDULING ORDER*

Pursuant to this Court's *Order Requiring Proposal for Contents of Scheduling Order* (Doc. 7), Defendant Capital One, N.A. (additionally and erroneously named as "Capital One Financial Corporation" and "Capital One Services, LLC;" "Capital One"), hereby submits this proposed Scheduling Order for the Court's consideration and entry.

1. **Amendment of Pleadings and Joinder of Parties:** On December 5, 2024, Plaintiff Robert Allen Bautista ("Plaintiff") filed an *Amended Complaint and Joinder of Defendants*. Therefore, Plaintiff should only be permitted to amend his pleadings with leave of Court, upon a showing of good cause. All other amended pleadings, including amendments joining additional parties, must be filed by **January 23, 2025**. Motions for leave to amend need not be filed so long as the amendment is filed within the deadline set in this paragraph. After **January 23, 2025**, a party may amend its pleadings only with leave of Court, upon a showing of good cause.
 - a. ***Redline Requirement:*** The amending party shall attach as an exhibit to the pleading a redlined version of the prior pleading.
 - b. ***Response to Amended Pleading:*** The deadline to file a response to an amended pleading is 21 days after the date the amended pleading is served, notwithstanding expiration of the amended pleading deadline.

2. **Completion of Discovery:** All fact and expert discovery must be initiated in time to be completed by **October 22, 2025**. This includes the use of subpoenas to obtain documents from third parties under Fed. R. Civ. P. 45, and the supplementation of discovery responses as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e). The parties may agree to extend the discovery deadline, provided (1) the extension does not affect the dispositive-motion deadline established by this Pretrial Scheduling Order, and (2) the parties give prompt written notice of the extension to the Court.

A party with the burden of proof on a claim or defense must designate expert witnesses by **July 9, 2025**. A party without the burden of proof on an issue, but who wishes to utilize an expert witness, must designate expert witnesses by **July 23, 2025**. Parties must designate rebuttal experts by **August 22, 2025**. The designation of experts must comply with the provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2).

3. **Settlement Conference and Settlement Status Report:** No later than **November 19, 2025**, lead counsel and all unrepresented parties must meet and confer by telephone to discuss settlement of this case. At the conclusion of this conference, the parties must, within three business days, file a joint report notifying the Court of the results of the conference and, if the case did not settle, the status of settlement negotiations.

4. **Motion Practice:**

- a. ***Dispositive Motions:*** All motions that would dispose of all or any part of this case, including motions for summary judgment, must be filed by **December 23, 2025**. Objections to the qualifications or competency of experts, sometimes referred to as *Daubert* motions, will be considered as dispositive motions and must be filed by the dispositive-motion deadline. The deadline for filing dispositive motions will not be modified except upon written motion for good cause shown.
- b. ***Nondispositive Motions:*** Before filing a nondispositive motion, the moving party's counsel, or the unrepresented party, must confer with the attorney, or the unrepresented party, for each party affected by the requested relief to determine whether the motion is opposed. Each motion must include a certificate of conference that complies with Local Civil Rule 7.1(b).
- c. ***Sealed Materials:*** Parties must not unreasonably file pleadings, motions, or other papers under seal. *See June Med. Servs., LLC v. Phillips*, 2022 WL 72074, at *6 (5th Cir. Jan. 7, 2022); *see also Binh Hoa Le v. Exeter Fin. Corp.*, 990 F.3d 410, 417 (5th Cir. 2021). Private or sensitive information, including social-security numbers, taxpayer-identification numbers, financial-account numbers, minors' names, and dates of birth, should be redacted from any document filed with the Court.
- d. ***Courtesy Copies:*** The Court does **not** require courtesy copies of any pleadings, motions, or other documents filed on the docket.

- e. ***Oral Argument:*** The Court routinely sets discovery motions for hearing on an expedited basis and allows oral argument on nondiscovery motions on a case-by-case basis. *See* N.D. Tex. L. Civ. R. 7.1(g). Counsel should advise the Court if they believe oral argument would be particularly helpful in a given matter. If the Court sets a motion for hearing, it will require counsel for both parties to attend the hearing in person.
 - f. ***Proposed Orders:*** Every motion must be accompanied by a proposed order that is set forth separately. *See* N.D. Tex. L. Civ. R. 7.1(c). An agreed proposed order must be signed by the attorneys or parties. Movants should submit proposed orders via the Court's Electronic Case Filing system.
5. **Requirement of Local Counsel:** Under the Court's Local Rules, unless exempted by Local Rule 83.11, local counsel is required in all cases where an attorney appearing in a case does not reside or maintain the attorney's principal office in this district. *See* N.D. Tex. L. Civ. R. 83.10(a). Accordingly, Plaintiff must designate local counsel in addition to present counsel.
6. **Trial:** The Court will set this case for **TRIAL** by separate order after all dispositive motions have been decided. The pretrial order will establish trial-related deadlines, including a deadline for submitting witness lists, exhibit lists, deposition excerpts, and proposed jury instructions. Deadlines will also be established for filing motions *in limine* and other trial-related motions.
7. **Consent:** Capital One respectfully does not consent to the jurisdiction of a Magistrate Judge.
8. **Noncompliance:** The failure to comply with any part of this order may result in the imposition of sanctions. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(f). Should any party or counsel fail to cooperate in accomplishing anything required by this order, that party or counsel or both may be subject to sanctions, including dismissal or entry of default.
9. **Communications with the Court:** Attorneys and self-represented litigants are discouraged from contacting chambers except in rare emergencies. Parties may not bring the Court procedural or scheduling questions that can be addressed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Local Civil Rules for the Northern District of Texas, the Court's Scheduling Order, or any prior Court order related to the calling attorney's or litigant's case. The Court's staff will not discuss any substantive aspects of a specific case, the status of a pending motion, give legal advice, opine on the Court's preferences in a motion to the Court, or predict what the Court will do on a given matter. Please do not inquire about the status of pending motions. If there are extenuating circumstances regarding a pending motion that should be brought to the Court's attention, please electronically file an appropriate notice or request for relief via the CM/ECF system. Unless directed by the Court, do not email the Court or any court staff.

10. **Rule 11 Warning Regarding Generative Artificial Intelligence:** Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires that “[e]very pleading, written motion, and other paper must be signed by at least one attorney of record in the attorney’s name—or by a party personally if the party is unrepresented.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(a). And by presenting to the court a pleading, written motion, or other paper, “whether by signing, filing, submitting, or later advocating it,” an attorney or self-represented litigants certifies—among other things—the claims, defenses, and other legal contentions are warranted by existing law and the factual contentions have evidentiary support. Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(b). Attorneys and self-represented litigants are cautioned against submitting to the Court any pleading, written motion, or other paper drafted using generative artificial intelligence (e.g., ChatGPT, Harvey.AI, or Google Bard) without checking the submission for accuracy by traditional means (using print reporters or reputable legal databases). Any attorney or self-represented litigant who signs a pleading, written motion, or other paper submitted to the Court will be held responsible for the contents of that filing under Rule 11, regardless of whether generative artificial intelligence drafted any portion of that filing. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(c) (providing for imposition of an “appropriate sanction”—including nonmonetary directives, a penalty payable to the court, or payment to the opposing party of attorney’s fees and expenses directly resulting from the violation—if, after notice and a reasonable opportunity to respond, the court determines that Rule 11(b) has been violated).

Dated: December 23, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

s/David Herrold

David H. Herrold, SBN 24107029
BURKE BOGDANOWICZ PLLC
1201 Elm Street, Suite 4000
Dallas, Texas 75270
Tel. (214) 473-5985
E-mail: dherrold@burkebog.com

**Attorneys for Defendant,
CAPITAL ONE, N.A.**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that on the filing date of the above and foregoing instrument he caused a true and correct copy of the same to be electronically filed with the CM/ECF System maintained by the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Texas which is understood to transmit a '*Notice of Electronic Filing*' of the same to the following registered recipients in this action:

David H Herrold dherrold@burkebog.com, mgoens@burkebog.com
Robert Allen Bautista rbrbtst16@gmail.com

- s/ David Herrold _____